



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,701	06/29/2001	Brenda Posey Watlington	10015140-1 9066	
7590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	•	09/896,701	WATLINGTON, BRENDA POSEY			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
7,		Pierre E. Elisca	3621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed rs will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 February 2004.					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖾	4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
5)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
• =	☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11\	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
, —		diffilier. Note the attached Office	77(0:1011 01 10:1111 1 1 0 1 0 2 .			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.			
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Serial Number: 09/896,701 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment, filed on 2/16/2004.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) and Caputo et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,778,071) in view of Hayosh (U.S. Pat. No. 6,212,504).

Application/Control Number: 09/896,701

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 1-10, and 12 AAPA substantially discloses a transaction terminals that are utilized in a variety of environments, such as retail establishments, automatic teller machines, gas pump payment terminals, and the like, comprising:

comparing a data entry prompt for entry of data into the transaction terminal to prompts in a secure prompt table (see., fig 1, pages 2-4);

determining that the data entry prompt is a secure prompt upon the occurrence of any of the conditions of: the data entry prompt matching at least one the prompts in the secure prompt table (see., fig 1, pages 2-4, secure prompt or PIN);

transmitting the data entered into the transaction terminal in response to the data entry prompt (see., fig 1, pages 2-4);

the data entry prompt matching at least one of the prompts in the secure prompt table (see., fig 1, pages 2-4, PIN).

It is to be noted that AAPA fails to explicitly disclose that the data entry prompt matching only a portion of any of the secure prompts (portion of any of secure prompts or private/public keys), and a clear text data (text data or encryption). However, Caputo discloses a digital algorithm (algorithm or plain text data) that includes a private/public keys or portion of the secure prompts see., figs 5b, and 6, col 10, lines 51-67, col 12, lines 58-67, col 14, lines 23-51.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of AAPA by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Caputo because this would prevent unauthorized access to the system using encryption algorithm.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,701 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

Based on the Applicant's response filed on 2/16/2004, Applicant argues that Caputo fails to disclose that the transmission of data as clear text data. The newly found prior art (Hayosh) discloses a digital signature with a clear text data (see., abstract, col 1, lines 52-65, col 13, lines 30-44). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of AAPA and Caputo by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Hayosh because this would produce a digital signature using clear text data (or encryption).

As per claim 11, AAPA discloses the claimed method wherein the data entry device comprises a personal identification number entry device (see., fig 1, pages 2-4).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 2/16/2004 have been fully considered but they are most in view of new ground (s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

April 28, 2004